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Abstract

Background
There is anecdotal evidence that chiropractic treatment helps asthma. A review of the applicability of research methods that may be adopted to test for any benefits from chiropractic treatment informed the clinical research design.

Methods
A multi-site pragmatic clinical trial was conducted. The clinical trial involved asthma sufferers who chose to attend for a series of 18 chiropractic treatments whilst maintaining their own active asthma management plan. The six week clinical phase occurred in a ‘typical’ setting of chiropractic practices of 19 chiropractors; participating chiropractors administered treatment ‘typical’ of their daily practice.

Trial Participants
The study (N = 142) randomised participants into four groups. The asthma group A (41 participants) attended the clinic and received treatment, asthma group B (40 participants) attended appointments at the participating clinics but did not receive treatment. The asthma group C (39 participants) was monitored from home. Group D (22 participants) was a group of age-matched participants who did not suffer from asthma and were monitored from home.

Outcome measures
Three self-reporting questionnaires were used to assess physical, emotional and mental health in the context of asthma. Participants monitored their Peak Expiratory Flow (lung function) at home daily with Peak Flow Meters.
Physiological changes in health within the context of asthma were measured by laboratory analysis of cortisol and IgA levels from salivary samples. These provided biomarkers of health in asthma.

**Results**

Results indicated that there were some therapeutic benefits occurring for the asthma participants that had received chiropractic treatment. Group A sustained an increase in IgA levels and a decrease in cortisol levels supporting a trend of improving PEF scores (lung function) statistically significant at completion of the trial (P <0.05). These findings were supported by self-reported decrease in symptoms and use of reliever medication with improvements in physical and mental health domains of SF36 and an improved locus of negative emotions.

**Discussion**

Observed changes in neuro-endocrine and neuro-immune activity indicate some therapeutic mechanism underlying these health benefits from chiropractic that requires further research.

Chiropractic and alternative healthcare developments with emerging models of patient-centred healthcare delivery are discussed.

(Macquarie University Human Research Ethics approval reference no: 26MAY2000-RO42 and HE26SEP2003-RO2633)

(Australian Clinical Trial Registry Number: ACTR 00081909)
The World Health Organisation (WHO) estimates that 300 million people suffer from asthma. The prevalence of asthma in Australia is one of the highest in the world, according to population studies.

It is a fact that health consumers consult chiropractors for treatment of asthma; and report beneficial outcomes. It is also the fact that research studies into the potential benefits of chiropractic in the treatment of asthma have been inconclusive. The thesis reports on a clinical trial, the research methodology of which was designed by the Macquarie University multi-disciplinary team of researchers with specific regard for those seemingly contradictory facts.

The focus of this thesis is to review particular evidence of efficacy in chiropractic as a treatment for improving the health of the individual asthma sufferer and to consider the applicability of research methods that may be adopted to evidence any such benefits from chiropractic treatments. The nature of chiropractic treatment is reviewed through research to date and with identification of current topics of research significance in chiropractic healthcare.

The complex condition of asthma as a chronic multi-factorial condition is reviewed, as is the pathophysiological nature of asthma as a potential factor of influence itself. Chiropractic healthcare is discussed in its context as a Complementary and Alternative Medicine (CAM) healthcare service.

The thesis identifies strengths and weaknesses in research methods of chiropractic and CAM healthcare approaches that are non-pharmaceutical by nature. It is recognised that in CAM there are therapeutic mechanisms of health change that are yet to be understood. A pragmatic research trial within an asthma management plan offered a patient-centric model for
examining the health of the individual asthma sufferer, with the inclusion of a non-pharmaceutical treatment program. The thesis acknowledges the importance of emerging trends in issues such as consumer health demand and clinical co-management, and offers observations on the place of a patient outcome-centric model of health delivery in particular in the context of CAM.

There is background to the research design of the clinical trial. The research design was informed by preliminary studies and constructed by the multi-disciplinary team. The clinical trial then proceeded.

The clinical trial was undertaken to examine whether there are any therapeutic benefits for the individual with asthma from chiropractic treatment; the trial is discussed in terms of its findings and also in terms of its implications on the question of research design in the context of medical and alternative healthcare.

**Method**
A multi-site pragmatic clinical trial was conducted over a 14 week period with a six week clinical period of treatment, phase 2. The six week clinical phase was preceded by the two week baseline period, phase 1 and followed by the six week post-treatment period, phase 3. There was data collection daily from home by all trial participants and questionnaires were completed at each of the phases, 1, 2 and 3, of the 14 week study. The clinical trial involved asthma sufferers who chose to attend for a series of 18 chiropractic treatments at participating clinics whilst maintaining their own active asthma management plan. The six week clinical phase of the experimental intervention occurred in a ‘typical’ setting of the chiropractic practices of 19 chiropractors; with the participating chiropractor administering treatment ‘typical’ of their daily practice.
Study participants
The study (N = 142) randomised the asthma participants into three groups with a fourth control group of non-asthma participants. The asthma group A (41 participants) attended the clinic and received treatment, asthma group B (40 participants) attended appointments at the participating clinics but did not receive treatment. The asthma group C (39 participants) was monitored from home. Group D (22 participants) was a group of age-matched participants who did not suffer from asthma and were monitored from home.

The asthma participants in groups A and B expected to receive their 18 chiropractic adjustments at some point during the 14-week trial. Those in group A were asthma sufferers who received 18 chiropractic treatments during their attendance at the participating clinics. Group B did attend the participating clinics for 18 appointments but did not receive chiropractic treatment during the 14-week period of the clinical trial. Participants in group C were asthma sufferers who did not receive any chiropractic treatment and participated in the 14-week study from home. Participants in group D were not asthma sufferers and did not receive any chiropractic treatment and participated in the 14-week study from home.

Participating chiropractors
Each participating chiropractor had a minimum of five years’ experience in private practice and was able to demonstrate the use of a clinical technique considered mainstream for the purpose of the research. The participating chiropractors were required to manage the asthma participants in the normal course of their typical practice. Each chiropractor was instructed to record treatment of any spinal level(s) of dysfunction at for participants in group A. A clinical recording sheet was developed for the research trial. The participating chiropractors delivered a series of 18 chiropractic treatments, three times weekly in their own practice. For the purposes of the trial this was called a ‘program of chiropractic care’.
Outcome measures
Research measures included three self-reporting questionnaires to assess physical, emotional and mental health in the context of asthma. These were the SF-36, a wellness questionnaire, the Depression, Anxiety and Stress Scales (DASS) and an asthma-specific questionnaire. Also asthma participants monitored their Peak Expiratory Flow (PEF) or lung function at home daily with Peak Expiratory Flow Meters (PFM).

Changes in health within the context of asthma were measured by laboratory analysis of cortisol and IgA levels from salivary samples collected throughout the 14-week trial. These provided biomarkers for measuring health changes in the asthma sufferers.

There was a baseline collection of all data (phase 1) at two weeks prior to the treatment phase and again at the completion of the treatment phase (phase 2) with a final data collection point at the six-week post-treatment phase (phase 3).

Results
Group A showed improvements in physical and mental health in the results of the SF36. Decreased use of reliever medications and decreased asthma symptoms was found in relation to Group A, recorded in disease specific questionnaires. A reduced association of depression, anxiety and stress according to the DASS scales was also noted in group A.

The laboratory-based analysis of the two biomarkers showed a decrease in circulating cortisol and increased levels of IgA in saliva for group A. PFM readings showed a significant trend of improvement in the lung function of asthma participants in group A that was sustained in the six-week post-treatment data collection results.

Discussion
The findings of this study demonstrate therapeutic benefits from chiropractic treatment for individuals suffering from asthma. The observed changes in neuro-endocrine and neuro-immune activity indicate a therapeutic mechanism underlying these health benefits.
The combined results of the findings of the six research measures were indicative of some physiological response to the chiropractic treatment registered in the simultaneous decrease in cortisol, the increase in IgA, the trend of lung function improvement and the improved sense of physical, mental and emotional wellbeing observed in group A.

Further clinical research may develop a validated treatment program for asthma sufferers’ inclusion in personal asthma management plans.

Further clinical research with a focus on multi-disciplinary professional co-operation could contribute to the developing research model of integrative healthcare delivery.

It is recognised that in Complementary and Alternative Medicine (CAM) research, there are therapeutic mechanisms of health change that are yet to be understood. Without these established therapeutic mechanisms, the development of CAM healthcare systems is limited. Research design is critical to this growth. The randomised controlled trial (RCT) delivers rigour when appropriate to the purpose of the research. By contrast, the whole practice setting or ‘typical’ practice environment offers a range of possibilities for understanding the human-to-human interaction in healthcare.

A pragmatic research trial within an established medical regimen can offer a patient-centric model for examining the health of the individual asthma sufferer, with the inclusion of a non-pharmaceutical treatment program. Further clinical research with a focus on multi-disciplinary professional co-operation could contribute to the developing research model of integrative healthcare delivery.
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